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Part XXIX of "Cuneiform Texts from Babylonian Tablets, etc.," contains texts from sixty-five tablets from the Babylonian and Kouyunjik Collections, of which all except three are here published for the first time.

The greater number of the texts consist of old Babylonian letters, which date from the period of the First Dynasty of Babylon. Three of these are official despatches, sent by one high officer in the state to another. The writer of one of them is Sin-idinnam, the well-known governor of Larsa in the reign of Hammurabi, and he is mentioned in another of the despatches. The "lord" who is referred to in both these documents is probably Hammurabi himself. The other letters are from the correspondence of private people, and, although of no historical interest, they are of great value, both from a linguistic point of view and for the information they supply on the daily life and pursuits of the writers and their correspondents. It is interesting to note that, in two instances, the envelopes, as well as the letters themselves, have been preserved.

The remainder of the texts here published are of a religious character. The explanatory Lists of Gods are supplementary to the God-lists published in Parts XXIV and XXV. In addition to these there are here published an Assyrian copy of a list of portents, which its compiler believed were connected with some great misfortune to Akkad, or Northern Babylonia, and a new portion of the text of the Eighth Tablet of the Mašlū Series of Incantations.

The descriptions of the texts and the copies are the work of Mr. L. W. King, M.A., F.S.A., Assistant in the Department.

E. A. WALLIS BUDGE.

Department of Egyptian and Assyrian Antiquities, British Museum.

December 10th, 1910.
I.

DESCRIPTION OF PLATES.

I. OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS, ETC., OF THE PERIOD OF THE FIRST DYNASTY OF BABYLON. (PLATES 1-43.)

The fifty-seven texts published on Plates 1-41 are letters of the period of the First Dynasty of Babylon, and the large majority of them are from the correspondence of private people. Though of no historical interest, they are of great value, both from a linguistic point of view and for the information they supply on the economic conditions of the period. There are three exceptions, which, although the name of any king is absent from them, may be definitely classed as official despatches. One of these, No. 28435 (pl. 16), is written to Nabium-malik by a certain Sin-idinnam, whom we may with some confidence identify with Hammurabi's correspondent in Larsa. Nabium-malik is doubtless the high official attached to Sin-idinnam's service, who is referred to in two of Hammurabi's letters (see King, L.I.H., Nos. 15 and 38); and Sin-idinnam's "lord," before whom in the present letter he orders the defaulting officials and their subordinates and servants to be sent, is clearly Hammurabi himself. A second letter which we may regard as an official despatch is No. 12819 (pl. 17). This is written by Apil-iluka to "my lord," and we may probably infer that it was addressed to Hammurabi, since it contains an appeal from an official decision of Sin-idinnam. The letter concerns the clearing out of the Ningirsu-khegallu Canal. Since its channel had become choked with soil, "my lord," that is to say Hammurabi, had given orders for it to be dug out. But a dispute had arisen, since the city of Khalbi, which was situated on the bank of the canal, and was therefore obliged to furnish labour for the digging, had refused to carry out the work. Apil-iluka had reported the matter to Sin-idinnam, but he would not listen to him, and so he now appeals to his "lord" to write to Sin-idinnam and give the necessary instructions. The third letter, which may be classified as an official despatch, is No. 40337 (pl. 22), written by Nidnat-Sin to Adad-sharrum. Nidnat-Sin was clearly Adad-sharrum's official superior, since he writes to him about a complaint made against him by the people of the city of Kar-Shamash, to the effect that he had misappropriated certain taxes. Adad-sharrum is told to restore the money, and is summoned before Nidnat-Sin to give an account of his conduct. It is possible, though not probable, that the Adad-sharrum of this letter is to be identified with the writer of No. 82612 (pl. 23).

The letters from private persons, which are published in this Part, deal with events of a character such as we should expect to encounter in the daily life of a people engaged in agricultural and commercial pursuits. Some relate to the ownership of land, or to details concerning its irrigation; others deal with the disposal of oxen, sheep, etc.; others again demand the payment of corn or money, the despatch of money already paid, the guaranteeing of sums due, etc. The contents of some refer to matters of a legal character, such as No. 97040 (pl. 10), which forbids any litigation with regard to the house of a certain fisherman; or No. 28436 (pl. 7), which settles a dispute concerning an adoption. Of the letters containing place-names, mention may be made of No. 96599 (pl. 10) as of interest. On this tablet Illi-ikisham writes to his agent concerning a robbery of the men of Idamara (cf. 1. 6, al-suμum e-κim (awil)l-da-ma-ra-as. The occurrence of this place-name in the letter is of considerable interest; the place is mentioned in the date-formula for the tenth year of Samsu-iluna. Most of the letters are complete documents, and since, with two exceptions, they are without their envelopes, we may assume that they were sent and opened on their arrival at their destination. One letter, No. 28444 (pl. 10), which appears to be unfinished, may be regarded as an exception.
In the majority of cases the letters are separate documents, unconnected with each other, and they generally furnish little information with regard to the status of the writer or his correspondent. An exception is presented by the group of thirteen letters placed at the beginning of the Part (pl. i-6). These were all written by the same man, a certain Akhum, to Awil-Bau, with whom Libit-Ishtar is sometimes associated, and, in one instance, Kish-Mama also. Since Awil-Bau is always mentioned last, it is clear that he was the least important of the three men, in spite of the fact that he is the most frequently mentioned. From the contents of the letters it is clear that Awil-Bau was living in a city (cf. Nos. 28447 and 28537), and, from the character of the writing, we may set this city in Southern Babylonia. Several of the letters deal with purely agricultural matters, such as those concerning grain (Nos. 28476, 28559, 28531, pl. 4 f.), land (No. 28510, pl. 5), oxen (No. 28457, pl. 3), the despatch of an ass (No. 28558, pl. 2), etc.; another is of a domestic character concerning Awil-Bau's relatives (No. 28588, pl. 4).

It is clear that Awil-Bau occupied a position of some authority, for he could give orders for a man's detention or imprisonment (cf. No. 28508, pl. 2, l. 11 f., a-na si-bi-tim 3u-ri-ba-3u-nu-ti). One of the most interesting of this group of letters was written by Akhum on the outbreak of a pestilence in his city (No. 28447, pl. 1). This misfortune he attributes to the god Nergal, and he gives directions to his two correspondents to appease the god by prayer, etc. In addition to the group of letters from Akhum, we have two letters written on the same subject from Taturinatum when writing to her "lord" (Nos. 80329 and 80723, pl. 11); two letters from Sin-akham-idimmu to Ilumka-Shamash (Nos. 80558 and 80685, pl. 13 f.); while the Nishi-iniushu, to whom No. 80797 (pl. 18) is addressed, is probably to be identified with the writer of No. 80897 (pl. 19).

From the character of the writing of Akhum's letters it is clear that they are of Sumerian origin, and the same may be said of No. 28840 (pl. 6), which is published immediately after them. But the great majority of the letters are North Babylonian, having been written in the cities of Sippar and Babylon. In some cases an inference may be drawn as to the nationality of the writer of a letter, or of his correspondent, from the deities invoked in the blessing which generally follows the address-formula. Thus in No. 97050 (pl. 24) the writers call down blessings on their correspondent from Shamash, Marduk, and Ashur (written -l- [\[
\text{\textdagger}]
\text{\textdagger}}), and Ashur also forms a component part of the name of one of them; we may, therefore, with some confidence, regard Ashur-ashi and Sha-shubutu as Assyrians. Again, in No. 97816 (pl. 9) Sin-ishe-manni invokes on his two correspondents the blessings of Shamash and Aa, "your god" (i-ku-na); it is thus clear that Sin-eribam and Aa, the gods of his correspondent, are Western Semites. In this connection it is of interest to note that sometimes a writer would invoke a particular deity because that deity was his own, while on other occasions he selects for his invocation the god or goddess of his correspondent. Thus the writer of No. 78214 (pl. 33) invokes Shamash and Enlil, "my god" (i-l-), and in the same way the writer of No. 80897 (pl. 19) invokes "my lord and my lady" (ke-el u be-el-ti); similarly the writer of No. 80447 (pl. 38) invokes Kadi along with Shamash, since he was his own goddess, as appears from his name Kadi-shutmar. On the other hand the writer of No. 80484 (pl. 12) invokes Shamash and "thy god" (i-ka), while in No. 80430 (pl. 25) the invocation is addressed simply to "thy lord" (be-el-ka). Sometimes Shamash alone is addressed (cf. Nos. 27780, 28436, 96604, 96608, and 97815, pl. 7 f., 37): but the commonest form of invocation was to Shamash and Marduk (cf. Nos. 25633, 28435, 28444, 80186, 80354, 80410, 80612, 80616, 80802, 80885, 96629, 97034, 97686, pl. 10, 15 f., 20 f., 23 f., 27 f., 31, 35, 41). Shamash and Aa, "the Bride," are invoked by Taturinatum when writing to her "lord" (Nos. 80329 and 80723, pl. 11), and in one instance Shamash and Ninsianna are coupled in the formula (No. 29655, pl. 30). In many instances no blessing follows the address-formula (cf. Akhum's letters in pl. 1-6, and Nos. 12819, 23357, 28840, 40037, 80558, 80685, 80787, 80840, 80878, 81795, 96793, 97040, 97675, 100117, pl. 6, 9 f., 13 f., 17 f., 22, 26, and 36): in some instances the omission would appear to be due to the formal and official character of the communication, while in others it would appear to have been dictated by a desire for brevity, the saving of time, etc. In one letter (No. 81095, pl. 39) there is no address-formula, and the letter opens with an invocation to Nabh, "my lord," while, in one instance only, are blessing and address-formula both wanting (No. 80816, pl. 40).

1 It may be noted that in No. 80723, l. 12, the traces of the first sign in the line are those of \text{l}.
2 It may be added that Kaushhû, to whom No. 80802 (pl. 15) is addressed, was obviously a Kassite, or of Kassite descent.
In two instances the letters, when discovered, were still enclosed in their envelopes, which had not been broken, and it is clear that these, though addressed and ready for the post, had not been sent. The address on one of them (No. 29655a, pl. 29) reads: “To Nîr-Kabta, the son of Kashâba. The tablet of Shamash-liwir, the son of Kashâba, his brother.” It is interesting to note that this address contains a more detailed description of the writer and his correspondent than is given in the address-formula of the letter itself (see pl. 30); and such may well have been the custom. The other envelope (No. 97815a, pl. 37) is addressed simply “To Taribusha,” but it is sealed on the obverse, the reverse and the edges with the sender’s seal, which gives full details as to the writer’s identity. The inscription on the seal reads: “Adad-idinnam, the son of Adad-sharrum, the servant of Ammizaduga.” To judge from his own name and that of his father, it would seem that the writer of the letter, who was clearly a high official at the court of the last king but one of the First Dynasty of Babylon, was proud of his West-Semitic origin.

The following is a list of the letters, with their registration numbers, and with the names of the writers and their correspondents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registration Numbers</th>
<th>Plate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1-13) Letters from Akhum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) to Libit-Ishtar, Awil-Bau and Kish-Mama.</td>
<td>28475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) to Libit-Ishtar and Awil-Bau.</td>
<td>28447, 28473, 28558, 28508, 23823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) to Awil-Bau</td>
<td>28457, 28476, 28559, 28588, 28510, 25313, 28474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14) Letter from [. . . . . . . . . . . . ] to Sin[n(?)- . . . . . . . . . . . . ]</td>
<td>28840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15) [ . . . . . . . . . . . . ] to [T]aribu[m]</td>
<td>28436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) Niñat[. . . . . . . . . . . . ] to Awil[. . . . . . . . . . . . ]</td>
<td>27780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17) Ilu-tanakh[i] to Ablum and Khatârum</td>
<td>96508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18) Ilu-idinnam to Shamash-iluk[a]</td>
<td>96604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19) Ubarum, “thy brother,” to Amat-Shamash</td>
<td>80878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20) Sin-ishmeanni to Sin-eribam and Adad-nasir.</td>
<td>97816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21) Ill-iškisham to “my agent” (ka-hi-ri-as)</td>
<td>96529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22) II-awili to “my agent” (ka-hi-[r]-i-[a])</td>
<td>28444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(23) Marduk-mushalim to Nabium-apatlam</td>
<td>97040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24, 25) Letters from Taturmatum, “thy handmaid,” to “my lord.”</td>
<td>80333, 80723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(26) Letter from Shamash-našir, “thy brother,” to Irib-Sin</td>
<td>80344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(27, 28) Letters from Sin-akham-idinnam to Humka-Shamash.</td>
<td>80685, 80558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29) Letter from Sin-našir to Kashâhu</td>
<td>80802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30) Sin-idinnam to Nabium-malik</td>
<td>28343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31) Awil-iluka, “thy servant,” to “my lord”</td>
<td>12819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32) Nammartum to Sin-uballitsu</td>
<td>23357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(33) Taram-Sag-ila to Nishi-inishu</td>
<td>80797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(34) Nishi-inishu to “my lord”</td>
<td>80879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35) Sumu-Dagan to “my father”</td>
<td>97031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(36) Adad-hu-tabbu(? ) to Ibl</td>
<td>86016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(37) Niñat-Sin to Adad-sharrum</td>
<td>40037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(38) Adad-sharrum to Ashši-ilishu-ra’im-awilê</td>
<td>80612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39) Ashšu-asu and Sha-shibut to Arad-Sin</td>
<td>97050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40) Abil-Kittum to Arad-Kalatim</td>
<td>80340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(41) Iltani to Bôslunu</td>
<td>80840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(42) Ibl-Amuru and Irsitim to “our father”</td>
<td>80410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this group of texts are collected some fragments of explanatory lists of gods which are supplementary to the god-lists published in Parts XXIV and XXV; an Assyrian copy of a list of portents, which its compiler believed were connected with some great misfortune to Northern Babylonia; and a new portion of the text of the Eighth Tablet of the Ma'ad Series of Incantations. The tablets may be described as follows:—

(i) Explanatory Lists of Gods.—The principal text of this class, published for the first time in a complete form on plates 44-47, is part of a Neo-Babylonian tablet, which was inscribed with a long and important list of divine names and explanations. The list is arranged in double columns, and at the beginning of each line, to the left of the left-hand column, a space was left by the scribe which he has filled with glosses referring to the name in the opposite line. The main contents of the left-hand column are the names of the deities to be explained. The glosses usually give the Sumerian pronunciation of the name; sometimes they give the name of the sign, or furnish an equation with another deity (cf. Col. III, II, 7 and 22). In the right-hand column it was noted whether the pronunciation, or the name of the god, was the same in Semitic Babylonia as in Sumerian. It may be noted that among the values glossed to the left of the main column are several which are obviously Semitic, not Sumerian; cf. e.g., Col. II, l. 14, i-ili-sar-rat, l. 25 f. nu-ur-i-lu, l. 27 f. su-ur-lat, l. 29 naq-bu, and l. 30 f. sa-bi-bu. These must be taken as representing the pronunciation of the names both in Sumerian and Semitic. They were thus Semitic loan-names, and were probably collected by the compiler from Sumerian religious texts of a late period. In support of this suggestion we may note the occurrence of the West-Semitic pronunciation Adad as a gloss to Adad (Col. II, l. 23).
In its general character the text would exhibit a certain relationship to a Syllabary of the Third Class, if the glosses more frequently gave the names of sign-groups. The names glossed in Col. 11, l. 5 f., should be read as en-di-ib-dim and ga-di-ib-dim, the third sign in each case being clearly ib not zu. A few glosses in the main column give the pronunciation (cf. Col. 11, l. 12, Col. IV, l. 2) or name (cf. Col. II, l. 13) of separate characters. In Col. IV, l. 2, the gloss may be restored as [u]-ma-un. This may refer to the first half of the sign; but we may probably take <248 as here as a single character, in which case the gloss would give a value umun for the sign <248, udun. The readings given in Obv., Col. II, and Rev., Col. III, have already been enumerated by Pinches, J.R.A.S., 1905, pp. 144 ff. The small fragments of lists included on pl. 47 consist of part of a list of deities arranged in pairs (K. 7145); and a fragment of a list of the names of deities (K. 7646); Sm. 941 is a fragment from the right column of an explanatory list, the signs preserved giving the Semitic reading of a number of ideograms for different demons (pl. 44-47).

(ii) AN ASSYRIAN COPY OF A LIST OF PORTENTS.—According to the first line of its colophon (l. 34) the text gives a list of forty-seven evil portents which preceded “the casting down of Akkad” (XLVII iltidi(pl.) a-ha-a-ti sa-a-na nati(e) (mdtu)Abkadi,11 izissi(pl.)-ni). The first line of the tablet gave a note on the period during which the events enumerated took place, but the beginning and end of the line, as well as the name of the king, are broken, so that it is not certain what particular conquest of Northern Babylonia is referred to. The text appears to have been compiled from religious chronicles of the period, the writer having selected and written out the various events which, in his opinion, foretold or were connected with the misfortune which had befallen his country. With the exception of passages referring to the month in which a particular event occurred (cf. ll. 10, 17 and 22), no dates are given. The text thus has no historical value, but it has considerable interest for the student of Babylonian augury. It will be noted that the phenomena from which the portents are derived may be classified under two headings: (a) natural occurrences of a rare or extraordinary character and (b) events which appeared to break some law of nature. Under the first of these headings we may set the destruction of beams in houses at Daban (l. 5); the outbreak of fire in sacred places (ll. 17 f., 22); the appearance of wild beasts and birds in Babylon (ll. 11 f., 16); a great flood at Borsippa, when the water came within the walls of Ezida (l. 27); and a flight of meteors or falling stars (l. 19). Under events which appeared to break or be contrary to some law of nature may be set the story of a decapitated head crying out ( hapkadu nab-su i-i-i, l. 1); the occurrence of monstrousities, human and animal (ll. 3 f., 6, 23 ff.): cases of incest and unnatural matings of animals (l. 14 f.); fruitfulness of the male, in the cases of a dog (l. 19) and a male palm (l. 8); unnatural growths and appearance of date-palms (ll. 8 f., 29 f., 32); and the occurrence of evil spirits or portents in sacred places (ll. 18, 31, 33). Under this head may also be set the appearance of honey on the ground at Nippur and of salt at Babylon, though these were doubtless natural secretions of the soil. In addition to Nippur, portents are related to have taken place at Daban (l. 5), Dilbat (l. 7), Dér (l. 31), Kaldu or Southern Babylonia (ll. 19, 32), and Bit-Albadá (l. 7); but in the case of the majority of the portents, where no city is referred to by name, it is implied that they took place in Babylon (cf. e.g., ll. 11, 12a, 16, 18, 20b). For an earlier publication of the tablet see Boissier, Doc. Assyr., I, pp. 267 ff., and cf. Chaos, I, pp. 253 ff., and for the text of a chronicle similar to those which the compiler of the list consulted, see King, Chronicles, II, pp. 70 ff., 157 ff., and cf. I, pp. 212 ff.

(iii) A NEW PORTION OF THE INCANTATION SERIES MAKLU; TABLET VIII.—The fragment K. 2385, which has been rejoined to K. 7586, enables us to restore considerable portions of the text of the Eighth Tablet of the Maklu Series that have hitherto been missing. K. 7586 is from the upper part of the reverse of the tablet and is published by Tallqvist, Maklu, II, p. 93. The upper part of the fourth column preserved by K. 7586 (see Magilh, I, l. 108 f., ll. 76-95) is not affected by the join, and this portion of the text is therefore not repeated on pl. 50. But K. 2385 restores a considerable portion of the text at the beginning of the third column. For the place in the text of the Eighth Tablet, which the new fragment enables us to restore, see Magilh, pp. 104 ff., ll. 35 ff. This portion of the text, now partially or wholly restored as shown on pl. 50, may be transliterated and translated as follows:—

(15267)
Transliteration.

1. šiptu kibir (ḫ)iNārī elli[tu] u . KUR . KUR [ . . . . . . . ]
2. kibir (i)Nārī [ . . . . . . . ]
3. šiptu (Ḫ)iNārī ḫakkādī - i-a kibir (Ḫ)iNārī ū(a-da) a a ti1
4. kibir (Ḫ)iNārī (šam)AN . ḫUL . LA u (šam)[ . . . . . . ]
5. šiptu (Ḫ)iNārī a - kū6 al - ti kibir (Ḫ)iNārī
6. šiptu e (Ḫ)kaššātī - i-a e - li - ni - ti - i-a ū(z) E [ . . . . . . . ]
7. kibir (Ḫ)iNārī
8. šiptu at-ti tāḥtu ša ina ab-ri elli is-ba-mu-nu3 ana el ūt4 ṭāḥī tammānu(ū)ma
9. ina eli ša - NA ša ku - ta - ri1 ša ina rēši (iš)ēši tāšakkan(an)
10. šiptu e (Ḫ)kaššātī - i-a ina laq - ḫat - i-a4
11. ana eli (šam)nu-luḫ-ḫa tammānu(ū)ma ina eli ša-na ša ina rēši (iš)ēši tāšakkan(an)
12. (sūbātu) - li - in - na (iš)ēša talâšmi Putin
13. šiptu e (Ḫ)kaššātī - i-a ina laq - ḫat - i-a1 ana eli XII (iš)ēša - ir - ri8
14. tammānu(ū)ma - ma ina eli ša - NA ša ša ina rēši (iš)ēši tāšakkan(an)
15. šiptu e (Ḫ)kaššātī - i-a e - li - ni - ti - i-a ša aštāt(ā) pl. - ki ka laudi(tā) pl8
16. a - na eli II erīb erinmi tammānu(ū) - ma
17. ina inmiṭ bēdī u ṭumēlī bēdi kammūti(ā) tāšakkan(an)
18. šiptu e (Ḫ)kaššātī - i-a e - li - ni - ti - i-a10
19. ana eli abni šadī(ā) tammānu(ū) - ma ina tāḥābi ta - na - su

20. ku - ta - ri ša šiptu (Ḫ)En - lil ḫakkādī - i-a11
21. ma - la a - na kēši(ī)la šaτ - ri
22. tašnīni(tī) tuḫallaltu-taḫ-tar-šu šiptu (Ḫ)En-lil ḫakkādī-ia tammānu(ū)

23. [ . . . . . . . ] šiptu (Ḫ)En - līl ḫakkādī - i-a11
24. [ . . . . . . . ] šiptu (Ḫ)En - līl ḫakkādī-ia tammānu(ū)
25. [ . . . . . . . ] šiptu (Ḫ)En - līl ḫakkādī-ia tammānu(ū)

1 Provisionally restored from MoÂgl VI, l. 8.a.
2 The sign upon K. 7586 is clearly written as q(N, not q(N.
3 MoÂgl VI, l. 93 ff.
4 The ideogram ṣ[u] cannot here be taken as minātu; the rendering kērānum is adopted in the translation of the rubbing, as it best suits the accompanying incantation (cf. VI, l. 94-96).
5 From II, 20-22 (see note 11), it is clear that šutar, in addition to the meaning “sorcery,” has also the concrete meaning, “object to be burnt”; it is in this concrete sense that the word occurs in IV R, 55. No. 1, Obv., l. 37, and Rev., l. 14 (cited by Meissner, Suppl., p. 87), and in Zimmerm., Ritualtafelb., No. 26, l. 8, No. 27, l. 6: o, especially IV R, 55. No. 1, šiptu . . . . ina eli XII ku-ta-rī tammānu(ū)ma ina ū(m)luḫ-ḫāt-an išēši u ṭepīti (iš)ēši tāšakkan(an), For the occurrence of ŠA . NA in directions for ceremonies, see King, Magā, passim. The ŠA . NA šutar was probably a shallow bowl or vessel; on it the various objects, over which the spells had been recited, were placed and then burnt.
6 MoÂgl VI, l. 102 ff. The variant laḫ-ša-ti-u, in this line, proves that šu-ta-rī in MoÂgl VI, l. 102, 110, is not to be taken as LAḪ-ḪA-ta-rī – partiti-ta-rī, as suggested by Tallqvist, but as laḫ-ša-ti-u. The word has obviously some such meaning as “witch,” “sorceress,” “ enchantress.”
7 MoÂgl VI, l. 110 ff.
8 This rubric clearly refers to MoÂgl VI, l. 115, which should read [ – ] ṣ[u]ēši / ṣ[u]ēši / ši[tu] / ippašu ši[tu] ši[tu]. [iša] rēši (iš)ēši tāšakkan(an) XII (iš)ēši-ta-rī. “At the head of my bed will I place twelve pieces of šhedru-wood.” Of the character ši[tu] only slight traces are preserved on K. 2595, etc.
9 MoÂgl VI, l. 118 ff.
10 MoÂgl VI, l. 127 ff.
11 This section of three lines (II, 20-22), refers to the Sixth Tablet of the MoÂgl Series as a whole; it is cited by its opening line. The šutar, which here directed to be heaped together and burnt, are the various objects mentioned in the preceding rubrics referring to separate incantations on the Sixth Tablet.
12 This line refers to the opening incantation of the Seventh Tablet of the MoÂgl Series, and enables us partly to restore the first line of that Tablet. It is possible that the words upnutu u bili[litu] are to be taken as the beginning of the rubric, and not as part of the first line of the incantation.
I. Incantation: The Bank of the bright (?) River-goddess . . . . . . .
2. The Bank of the River-goddess [ . . . . . . . . . . . ]!
3. Incantation: “O River-goddess, thou art my head, Bank of the River-goddess thou art my deliverer!
4. “The Bank of the River-goddess, the ANJULLA-plant and the [ . . . . . ]-plant!”
5. Incantation: “O River-goddess, I have eaten! I have drunk, O Bank of the [River-goddess]!”
6. Incantation: “Come, my sorceress, my witch, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
7. “O Bank of the River-goddess!”
8. Incantation: “Thou art good, who in the pure place art born!” over a good offering shalt thou recite
9. and upon the fumigation-bowl which is at the head of the bed shalt thou place it.
10. Incantation: “Come, my sorceress or my enchantress (1)!”
11. over a nulukhkhu-plant shalt thou recite, and upon the (fumigation-)bowl which is at the head of the bed shalt thou place it;
12. with an upper-garment shalt thou envelope the bed.
13. Incantation: “Come, my sorceress or my enchantress!” over twelve pieces
of sha’irru-wood
14. shalt thou recite and upon the (fumigation-)bowl at the head of the bed
shalt thou place them.
15. Incantation: “Come, my sorceress, my witch, whose paths are over all the
world!”
16. over two caged locusts (?) shalt thou recite
17. and to the right of the door and to the left of the door of the enchanted man
shalt thou set them.
18. Incantation: “Come, my sorceress, my witch!”
19. over a stone from the mountain shalt thou recite and in the court (of the
house) shalt thou lay it.

The objects for burning in connection with the incantation “Enlil, my head,”
all that are described as (potent) against bans,
shalt thou heap together and thou shalt make it to go up in smoke. The
incantation “Enlil, my head” shalt thou recite.

It will be noted that the portion of the text of the Eighth Tablet of the Mağlû Series,
which is restored by K. 2385, consists of rubrics referring to the Sixth and Seventh Tablets of
the series, and giving directions for the due recital of the separate incantations and the
performance of accompanying rites. Generally the incantation has to be recited over some
object or objects, which are referred to in its text. Thus the nulukhkhu-plant, over which the
incantation quoted in l. 11 is to be recited, is referred to in the text of the incantation itself
(cf. Mağlû, VI, I. 108 f.) similarly the twelve pieces of sha’irru-wood of the rubric in l. 13 are

1 Line 26 is restored from Mağlû VII, l. 23; the first line of the incantation the rubric refers to.
The rubrics in this and the following line are abbreviated, the verbs being omitted; in each case the
incantation is to be recited over a gar of oil.
2 Mağlû VII, ll. 31 ff.
referred to in the text (cf. VI, l. 115); the oil in the rubric in l. 27 is the subject of the accompanying formula (cf. VII, ll. 27 ff.); and the "offering" mentioned in the rubric in l. 8 is quite in keeping with the description of the being addressed in the incantation (cf. VI, ll. 94–96). The two caged locusts which, according to the rubric in l. 8, are to be placed to the right and left of the door are symbolical of the two gods Lugal-girra and Meslamtaea, whom the suppliant declares he has set to the right and left of his door, that they, as "the gods of the watch," may slay his sorceress (cf. VI, ll. 123 ff.). Doubtless "the stone from the mountain," which the rubric in l. 19 directs to be placed in the court of the house, was referred to in the missing portion of the accompanying text, Tablet VI, ll. 132 ff. The new fragment of text shows that on the Eighth Tablet of the Maššû Series the different rubrics referring to the separate tablets were separated by lines ruled across the column; these are indeed preserved between those referring to I and II, and II and III. Of particular interest is the three-line rubric which refers to the Sixth Tablet as a whole. It proves that the objects over which separate incantations were recited were not intended to be burnt immediately after the recitation of the formula. In some cases at any rate the incantations are for consecutive recitation in groups, the different objects, when impregnated by the spells, being placed together on a single vessel; they were then heaped together and burnt at one time (Pl. 50).
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(15267)
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

OBVERSE.  28833.  REVERSE.

EDGE.  LEFT SIDE.

OBVERSE.  28437.  REVERSE.

EDGE.  LEFT SIDE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

28530.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.

18

28531.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.

LEFT SIDE.
PLATE 7.

OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

PLATE 8.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

1. Written over an erasure.

[The rest of the Reverse is undeciphered.]
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

96629.

1. The Reverse of the tablet is uninscribed.
2. The last word in the line is written over an erasure.
3. Erasure by the scribe.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

80329.

80723.

[The rest of the Reverse is unfinished.]

1. Written over an enlivening.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
PLATE 15.

OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
1. The wedges at the end of the line are probably not to be taken as a character.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
PLATE 23,
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

OBVERSE.

REVERSE.

EDGE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
1. For the text of Letter No. 99655, see Plate 30.

1. Written over an erasure.

2. One sign, probably me₃, has been erased by the scribe at the end of the line.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

80354.

OBVERSE.

1. Written over an erasure.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

80354.

REVERSE.

EDGE.

2. The sign ki is written over an erased hu.
PLATE 36.

OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

25693.
OBVERSE.

97888.
LEFT SIDE OF TABLET.

1. Erasure by the scribe.

97888.
REVERSE.

5

97888.
EDGE.

5

5

10

10

15

15

10

10

5

5

25693.
REVERSE.

OBVERSE.

97888.
EDGE.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

97675.

OBVERSE.

1. The Reverse of each of the tablets Nos. 97675, 87395 and 100,117 is uninscribed.

2. Line 7 of No. 87395 has been added by the scribe after the rest of the letter was written.

87395.

OBVERSE.

97693.

OBVERSE.

[The rest of the Reverse is uninscribed.]

100,117.

OBVERSE.
PLATE 37.

OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.

[Image of cuneiform script on a clay tablet with accompanying text]

1. The inscription is repeated on the reverse and on the edges of the envelope.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
80947.
OBVERSE.

EDGE.

REVERSE.

LEFT SIDE OF TABLET.
OLD BABYLONIAN LETTERS.
80916.

OBVERSE.

[The rest of the Reverse is uninscribed.]
For the text upon the Obverse, Reverse, and edges of the tablet, see below. Plates 42 and 43.
OLD BABYLONIAN TABLET.
78184.
OBVERSE.

1. Erasure by the scribe.
PLATE 43.

OLD BABYLONIAN TABLET.

78184.

REVERSE.¹

1. For the continuation of the text, upon the left side of the tablet, see Plate 41.
MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS TEXTS.

40559.

OBVERSE, COLUMN I.
MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS TEXTS.

46559.

OBVERSE, COLUMN II.
1. Written over an erasure.
PLATE 47.

MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS TEXTS.

46559.

REVERSE COLUMN IV.
MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS TEXTS.

Rm. 155.

REVERSE.
MISCELLANEOUS RELIGIOUS TEXTS.
K. 2395 + K. 7656.
COLUMN II.

1. Erasure by the scribe.